

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/625,657  | 07/24/2003      | Saburou Wakita       | 240732US3X          | 8903            |
| 22850   | 7590 03/11/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. |                 |                      | TRAN, LEN           |                 |
| 1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 223            |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   | ,               |                      | 1725                | <u> </u>        |

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u>   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |          |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/625,657   | WAKITA ET AL.   |          |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |          |  |  |  |
|  |   | Len Tran   | 1725  |          |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address  | <u> </u> |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | • •   | / 10 0FT TO EVEIDE 6.1   | AONTU(O) EDOM   |          |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu  | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on.      |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De   | ecember 2004.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 4) 🖂   | Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 8)   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | ion is required if the drawing   | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(  | (d).     |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attache   | d Office Action or form PTO-152.  |          |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 12)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  | -        |  |  |  |
| •  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| ,  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.  | ·   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  | Application No  |          |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| ٠٥   | application from the International Bureau   | ·  |   |          |  |  |  |
| * 5  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies no   | received.   |          |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | nt(s)   |  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)   |          |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No   | (s)/Mail Date   |          |  |  |  |
| · <del></del> .  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date   | 5) Notice of Other:  | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .   | 1        |  |  |  |
| - ape  |   | -, <u>-</u>  | <del>_</del>  |          |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/625,657

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gross et al (US 6,547,849).

As to claim 1, Gross et al disclose a casting apparatus comprising a die (17) having an opening section above the die so as to pour a molten metal therefrom (figure), a heater (38) disposed above the die (figure), a gas supplying section which supplies an inert gas to a surface of the molten metal (col.4, lines 47-48), a lid (32) which is disposed between the surface of the molten metal and the heater (38 (figure), and a lid moving structure (33) which moves the lid relatively to the die and capable of controlling opening amount of the opening section above the die (col. 4, lines 29-31).

As to claim 2, the moving structure is capable of adjusting an opening amount according to a flow amount of inert gas (figure), since lid is moveable.

As to claim 3, the lid moving structure has a structure for moving the lid vertically (col. 4, line 30).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,657 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al (US '849) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Baum (US 4,140,170).

Gross et al disclose the claimed invention above and wherein in molten metal is silicon (abstract), but fail to teach the bottom surface of the lid is coated with silicide.

However, Baum discloses a mold coated with silicide (col. 9, lines 11-12) for oxidation resistance.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,657

Art Unit: 1725

تنز

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a coating of silicide as taught by Baum, on Gross et al's lid in order to prevent oxidation.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Gross et al fail to teach the new limitation, a lid which is disposed between the surface of the molten metal and an entirety of the heater during operation of the heater. Examiner respectfully disagrees, since Gross et al disclose the claimed invention.

However, applicant may amend the claim to have the lower and upper heater not in contact with the lid, and wherein an entirety of the upper heater covers the lid during operation.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/625,657

Art Unit: 1725

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran
Examiner
Art Unit 1725

LT March 5, 2005